The technologies for capturing and processing 3D geodata are rapidly advancing. Aerial images processed with dense image matching algorithms result in automatically generated dense point clouds. Likewise, the data capture rate of Lidar sensors is still rising. As a result of these developments in geodata acquisition technology, the availability of 3D geodata is steadily increasing. Indeed, photogrammetry and Lidar together with 3D city modelling tools form the essential foundation for creating 3D textured building models.

**SMART CITIES**

The current use of 3D building models is mainly confined to visualisation, which leaves many other potential applications underexploited. This is a pity, since urban managers and planners could benefit tremendously from 3D city models. This is especially true in light of the rapid urbanisation worldwide, which requires continuous monitoring of energy consumption, noise pollution and many other "smart city" applications. Therefore, the major challenge for today's geomatics professionals is to create affordable technologies that make optimal use of geodata and automated 3D city modelling tools. This includes the combination of these geomatics products – consisting of reconstructed 3D geometries – with non-spatial data such as building materials, number of floors and data captured by smart meters and noise sensors. Such efforts will result in a richer understanding of urban ecosystems and thus increase the liveability and safety in ever-expanding cities. More than half of the world population is already living in cities (an urbanisation milestone that was reached back in 2008) and it is envisaged that this share will be two-thirds by 2050, so there is a clear need for more efficient mapping, understanding and management of urban areas.

**BOTTLENECKS**

Airborne imagery has been and continues to be the main source for detailed 3D modelling of urban scenes. Nadir and oblique aerial images can be captured with high ground sampling distances (GSDs) providing highly detailed RGB and point cloud data. The main bottleneck in exploiting the full potential of 3D city models lies not in the availability of geodata, but rather in the lack of fully automatic and broadly applicable software tools. For example, commercial tools for processing aerial images do not enable the integration of the relative orientation parameters of multi-camera systems, which capture both nadir and oblique images, as constraints in the bundle adjustment. Furthermore, the matching of oblique and nadir images does not run smoothly. Added to this, during mapping, the available building primitives do not represent all possible architectural shapes, particularly in historical city centres, and façade point clouds are generally not considered during the fitting of primitives.

**NON-SPATIAL DATA**

Enriching 3D city models with non-spatial information supports visibility analysis, urban
The aerotriangulation (AT) was performed with ground control points (GCPs) and GNSS observations of camera centres, included in the bundle block adjustment process as observed unknowns. The AT accuracy reaches values below the mean GSD of the captured images. Dense point clouds were then produced using the nFrames SURE image matching software. After georeferencing, two distinct workflows were adopted to create the 3D building representations at the second level of detail (LOD2). Whereas LOD1 shows buildings as blocks with flat roofs, for example, LOD2 shows finer details such as roof shapes and protrusions in the façades. The Hexagon/tridicon suite of tools was applied to create the 3D building models, fitting roof primitives to the pre-segmented point cloud (hence the first group of 3D modelling approaches) for Trento and to the simplified 3D meshes for the Graz dataset. For the Bergamo dataset, both approaches were applied (Figure 2).

The reconstructed geometries of buildings have been enriched with energy-performance certificates, artificial night-time light locations and emissions, building property details and other non-spatial information. The building property details consist of, amongst other things, the owner’s name, the number of floors, the number of rooms and building surface materials.

**WEB-BASED VISUALISATION**

The 3D building models and the linked non-spatial information are stored in two different data containers. Efficient managing of both data containers may be done through a service platform accessible from a web-based client. In collaboration with Trilogis Srl, the authors developed a dedicated web-GIS platform based on the NASA Web World Wind API to store, access, analyse and update the heterogeneous information linked to the 3D building models. The main potentials of the approach include: (1) 3D navigation, data visualisation and rendering, including sources of artificial night-time light and thermal maps; and (2) data query and editing, displaying non-spatial data in pop-up windows where information can be edited, enriched and updated. An example for the city of Trento is shown in Figure 3.

**CONCLUDING REMARKS**

3D geodata and geoinformation technologies will be key in supporting smart city concepts. 3D city modelling has attracted significant attention in recent years with numerous potential application fields, but

---

**Table 1. Details of the three aerial surveys; f: focal length of the camera, GSD: average nadir GSD in nadir images; all cameras are from the Vexcel stable.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Bergamo</th>
<th>Trento</th>
<th>Graz</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Area</td>
<td>5.3km x 5.6km</td>
<td>3.5km x 1.5km</td>
<td>3.0km x 1.5km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># nadir images</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># oblique images</td>
<td>4300</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camera</td>
<td>UltraCam Osprey Prime</td>
<td>UltraCamXp</td>
<td>UltraCam Osprey Prime</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f nadir [mm]</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f oblique [mm]</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSD (cm)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>along/across overlap</td>
<td>80/60%</td>
<td>80/60%</td>
<td>75/65%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 2.** LOD2 models of the historical city centre of Bergamo created by fitting geometric primitives to the point cloud (left) and by applying the 3D mesh approach.
there are many remaining challenges. One such challenge is that 3D buildings should represent more than just a realistic view of the urban environment, and an extension towards semantically enriched 3D city modelling is envisaged. Secondly, 3D modelling still lacks important automated components, and existing tools should be generalised towards the representation of different and more realistic urban scenes. Thirdly, the development of a common standardised data model is required, along with advances in hardware and software to manage massive datasets efficiently.
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Figure 3, 3D building geometries visualised and managed online within the web-GIS platform; LOD1 (top left), LOD2 (top right) and LOD3 (bottom).